Exercise 1: Basic phenomenology.

(a) In the lecture we obtained the relation

$$g_w^2 = 4\sqrt{2}M_W^2G_F$$
.

Starting from the known M_W and G_F , determine the numerical value of the weak fine-structure constant $\alpha_w:=g_w^2/(4\pi)$, and compare with α_{em} . Why is it that weak interactions are "weak" compared with electromagnetic interactions?

(b) Other relations (to be obtained in sec. 3.5) are

$$e = g_w \sin \theta_w \; , \quad \sin \theta_w = \frac{g_Y}{\sqrt{g_w^2 + g_Y^2}} \; , \quad \frac{M_Z}{M_W} = \frac{\sqrt{g_w^2 + g_Y^2}}{g_w} \; ,$$

where $\alpha_{em}=e^2/(4\pi)$. Use these to obtain a "tree-level" prediction for M_Z/M_W , by eliminating the unknowns g_Y , $\sin\theta_w$. How well does this compare with experiment?

Exercise 2: Ultraviolet divergences.

(a) Consider the integral

$$A(m^2, \Lambda) \equiv \int_{|\mathbf{r}| < \Lambda} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{r}}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}r_0}{2\pi} \, \frac{1}{R^2 - m^2 + i0^+} \, .$$

How does $A(m^2, \Lambda)$ behave for $\Lambda^2 \gg m^2$? (Keep all terms that do not vanish.)

(b) Consider then

$$B(m^2,Q^2,\Lambda) \equiv \int_{|\mathbf{r}|<\Lambda} \frac{\mathrm{d}^3\mathbf{r}}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}r_0}{2\pi} \, \frac{1}{[R^2-m^2+i0^+] \, \left[(Q+R)^2-m^2+i0^+\right]} \; .$$

How does $B(m^2,Q^2,\Lambda)$ behave for $\Lambda^2\gg m^2,Q^2$? [Hint: Carry out a Taylor-expansion in Q^2 ; compute the leading term explicitly; and argue that all other terms of the series remain finite for $\Lambda\to\infty$.]

Exercise 3: Loss of predictive power. In the script the problems of "unitarity violation" and "infinitely large loop corrections" were treated separately, but in a sense the two issues are also related to each other. Let us stick with the Fermi model and assume that infinities can be hidden through the introduction of suitable "counterterms", and that therefore loop corrections can be computed to any quantity, for instance to $\sigma(s)$.

- (a) Draw representative Feynman diagrams within the Fermi model leading to loop corrections to the cross section for the reaction $\bar{\nu}_e e^- \to \bar{\nu}_e e^-$.
- (b) Through dimensional analysis (without explicit computation), argue how the corresponding corrections scale as a function of s, for $\sqrt{s} \gg m_e$.
- (c) Show that even if all corrections were ultraviolet finite, then the perturbative series is nevertheless likely to lose its convergence when $s \geq G_F^{-1}$. [We say that the theory becomes "strongly coupled" at this scale.]